IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

CEDARCRESTONE, INC.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 3:12-CV-4673-K
	§	
AFFILIATED COMPUTER	§	
SERVICES, LLC n/k/a XEROX	§	
BUSINESS SERVICES, LLC,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

<u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court is Defendant's Mediation Report and Motion to Compel Mediation (Doc. No. 75). The Court **GRANTS** the motion but **modifies** the requested deadline. The parties are **ordered to complete mediation on or before June 20**, **2014**. The mediation report is due on or before June 27, 2014. No extensions of these deadlines will be granted. The parties are reminded that the mediation deadline is one set by the Court and good faith participation is not optional, regardless of a party's position on the matter. The Court would also remind the parties that they requested certain deadlines in the Court's Scheduling Order be modified, including the mediation deadline. *See* Doc. No. 44. The Court granted this request, using the parties' suggested new deadlines, again including mediation. *See* Doc. No. 45. The Court has

little tolerance when it generously extends deadlines for the parties only for them to disregard the new deadline, which they requested.

SO ORDERED.

Signed May19th, 2014.

ED KINKEADE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Kinkeade